

UNCLASSIFIED

AD 287 726

*Reproduced
by the*

**ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA**



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

62-1149

287 726

THE DISSIPATIVITY OF A CERTAIN NONLINEAR SYSTEM OF
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. I

By

B. P. Demidovich

UNEDITED ROUGH DRAFT TRANSLATION

THE DISSIPATIVITY OF A CERTAIN NONLINEAR SYSTEM OF
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. I

By: B. P. Demidovich

English Pages: 11

Source: Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta, Seriya
I, Matematika, Mekhanika, 6, 1961, pp.
19-27

SC-1410

SOV/55-61-0-6-2/⁶/₂

THIS TRANSLATION IS A RENDITION OF THE ORIGINAL FOREIGN TEXT WITHOUT ANY ANALYTICAL OR EDITORIAL COMMENT. STATEMENTS OR THEORIES ADVOCATED OR IMPLIED ARE THOSE OF THE SOURCE AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OR OPINION OF THE FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION.

PREPARED BY:

TRANSLATION SERVICES BRANCH
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
WPAFB, OHIO.

FTD-TT-62-1149/1+2+4

Date 29 Aug. 19 62

THE DISSIPATIVITY OF A CERTAIN NONLINEAR SYSTEM OF
 DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. I

B. P. Demidovich

1. Introduction

In the article sufficient conditions are given such that each solution $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(t)$ of a nonlinear system of ordinary differential equations when $t \geq T(\vec{x})$ belongs to a certain fixed bounded domain D , that is, Levinson's D-property holds [1]. At the same time, some earlier results of the author [2, 3] and S. A. Samedova [4] are generalized.

2. The principal lemma

Lemma. Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$, $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = (f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x})) \in C^1(D)$, where $f_i(\vec{x})$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are real and D is a convex set of a material Euclidian space E^n . Let $\lambda(\vec{x})$ and $\Lambda(\vec{x})$ be the lowest and highest characteristic numbers of the symmetrized Jacobi matrix

$$J_s(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{f}'(\vec{x}) + \vec{f}'^*(\vec{x})] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \right]$$

Then for any points $\vec{x} \in D$, $\vec{x} + \Delta \vec{x} \in D$ the inequality

$$\lambda_m(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) < (\Delta \vec{f}'(\vec{x}), \Delta \vec{x}) < \Lambda_M(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}), \quad (1)$$

is satisfied, where $\Delta \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{f}(\vec{x} + \Delta \vec{x}) - \vec{f}(\vec{x})$; $\lambda_m = \min \lambda(\vec{\xi})$ and $\Delta_M = \max \Lambda(\vec{\xi})$
FIRST LINE OF TEXT
 segment $\vec{\xi} = \vec{x} + t\Delta \vec{x} (0 \leq t \leq 1)$, connecting the points \vec{x} and $\vec{x} + \Delta \vec{x}$ **.

Proof. Let the points \vec{x} and $\vec{x} + \Delta \vec{x}$ be fixed. Starting from the obvious equality and applying the rule of differentiation of a complex vector function, we have

$$\Delta \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \vec{f}(\vec{x} + t\Delta \vec{x}) dt = \int_0^1 \vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x} dt,$$

where $\vec{\xi} = \vec{x} + t\Delta \vec{x}$. Hence

$$(\Delta \vec{f}(\vec{x}), \Delta \vec{x}) = \left(\int_0^1 \vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x} dt, \Delta \vec{x} \right) = \int_0^1 (\vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) dt. \quad (2)$$

Since

$$(\vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) = (J_{\vec{\xi}}(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}),$$

then we obviously have

$$(\vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) > \lambda(\vec{\xi})(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) > \lambda_m(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x})$$

and

$$(\vec{f}'(\vec{\xi}) \Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) \leq \Lambda(\vec{\xi})(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) \leq \Delta_M(\Delta \vec{x}, \Delta \vec{x}) \quad [2].$$

Therefore, inequality (1) follows from formula (2).

3. The criterion of the fixed-sign property of a matrix

Definition. The symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A = A(\vec{x})$, which is a function of the vector $\vec{x} \in E^m$, let us call uniformly of fixed sign (uniformly positive or uniformly negative) in a given domain D if the lower bound of the eigenvalues of this matrix is positive in D , or, correspondingly, the upper bound of the eigenvalues is negative in D .

Theorem 1. The symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A = A(\vec{x})$ ($\vec{x} \in D$) is uniformly

* (\vec{x}, \vec{y}) is understood to be the scalar product of the real vectors $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, that is, $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.

** For the validity of the lemma it is sufficient to assume only that the segment $\vec{\xi} = \vec{x} + t\Delta \vec{x} (0 < t < 1)$ is entirely contained in domain D .

positively fixed in D if:

FIRST LINE OF TEXT

- 1) the Sylvester conditions are satisfied

$$\Delta_1(\vec{x}) > 0, \dots, \Delta_n(\vec{x}) > 0,$$

where $\Delta_1(\vec{x})$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the principal diagonal minors of the determinant $\det A(\vec{x})$;

- 2) there exists a positive number h such that

$$\frac{\Delta_n(\vec{x})}{[\text{sp } A(\vec{x})]^{n-1}} > h > 0 \quad \text{when } \vec{x} \in D. \quad (3)$$

The proof of this theorem is given in an earlier work of the author [2].

Corollary. The symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A = A(\vec{x})$ ($\vec{x} \in D$) is uniformly negatively fixed in D if:

- 1) $(-1)^i \Delta_i(\vec{x}) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$

$$2) \quad \frac{\Delta_n(\vec{x})}{[\text{Sp } A(\vec{x})]^n} < -h < 0 \quad \text{when } \vec{x} \in D, \quad (4)$$

where h is a positive number.

These conditions follow immediately from Theorem 1 if it is borne in mind that the uniform negative definiteness of matrix $A(\vec{x})$ follows from the uniform positive definiteness of matrix $-A(\vec{x})$.

4. Sufficient conditions for the dissipativity of the system

Theorem 2. Let

$$\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}, t), \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) \in C(E^n \times I^+)$, $I^+ = (t < t < +\infty)$ and $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t_0) \in C^1(E^n)$ for each $t_0 \in I^+$; where t (number or symbol) is ∞ .

If:

- 1) a constant symmetric positively definite $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

is found such that the symmetric matrix

FIRST LINE OF TEXT

$$\tilde{J}_s(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} [A'_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x}, t) + A'_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x}, t)^*]$$

is uniformly negatively definite in $E^n \times I^+$, that is, its highest characteristic number $\Lambda(\vec{x}, t)$ satisfies in $E^n \times I^+$ the inequality

$$\Lambda(\vec{x}, t) \leq -\alpha < 0, \quad (6)$$

where α is a positive constant which is not a function of \vec{x} and t ;

2) $\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)$ is bounded, that is,

$$\|\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)\| = |\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)|, \quad |\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c < +\infty \quad \text{when } t \in I^+; \quad (7)$$

then:

1) System (5) is dissipative, and there exist a closed sphere $K_R = \{\|\vec{x}\| \leq R\} \subset E^n$, such that each solution $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(t) (t_0 \in I^+, \vec{x}(t_0) \in E^n)$ of System (5) possesses the property $\vec{x}(t) \in K_R$ when $T(\vec{x}) \leq t < +\infty$, and, therefore, $\vec{x}(t)$ is bounded by the interval $[t_0, +\infty)$;

2) all solutions $\vec{x}(t)$ are asymptotically stable in the large when $t \rightarrow +\infty$; the stability is of the exponential type.

Remark. If the symmetrized Jacobi matrix

$$J_s(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{f}'_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{f}'_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x}, t)^*]$$

is uniformly negatively defined, then, obviously, it is possible to take $A = E$, where E is a unit $n \times n$ matrix, and, therefore, in this case

$$\tilde{J}_s(\vec{x}, t) = J_s(\vec{x}, t).$$

In the particular case when System (5) is linear

$$\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = P(t)\vec{x} + \vec{f}(t),$$

* It is said that the solution $\vec{x}(t)$ ($t_0 \leq t < +\infty$) is asymptotically stable in the large when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ if: 1) it is according to Lyapunov when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and 2) for any solution $\vec{y}(t)$, determined by the initial condition $\vec{y}(t_0) \in E^n$, the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\vec{y}(t) - \vec{x}(t)\| = 0$ is valid.

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

where $P(t) \in C(I^+)$, $\vec{f}(t) \in C(I^+)$ and $\|\vec{f}(t)\| \leq c$ over I^+ , then for the validity of theorem 2 it is sufficient that the matrix

$$J_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} [P(t) + P^*(t)]$$

be uniformly negatively defined over I^+ .

Condition (6) was used by N. N. Krasovskiy [5], who, assuming $\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t) \equiv \vec{0}$, proved the asymptotic stability in the large of the trivial solution $\vec{x} \equiv \vec{0}$.

Proof 1'. Let us note first of all that the derivative $\frac{d}{dt} \vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ is continuous and, therefore, bound in any compact domain of the set $E^n \times I^+$; therefore, the local conditions of existence and uniqueness of the solutions are satisfied for System (5).

Let us examine the quadratic form

$$v = v(\vec{x}) = (A\vec{x}, \vec{x}).$$

Since matrix A is positively defined and symmetric, then, letting

$$a = \lambda_{\min}(A) \text{ and } b = \lambda_{\max}(A)$$

be the lowest and highest characteristic numbers of matrix A, we have

$$a(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) \leq v(\vec{x}) \leq b(\vec{x}, \vec{x}). \quad (8)$$

For any solution $x = x(t)$ of System (5), taking the symmetry of matrix A into account, we obtain

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \left(A \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}, \vec{x} \right) + \left(A\vec{x}, \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} \right) = 2 \left(A \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}, \vec{x} \right).$$

or

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = 2(A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t), \vec{x}) = 2((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), \vec{x}) + 2(A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}). \quad (9)$$

Since condition (6) is satisfied for the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)]$, then according to the principal lemma in Section 2 we have

$$((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), \vec{x}) < -a(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) < -\frac{a}{b} v. \quad (10)$$

In addition, using inequality (7) and the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$(\vec{A}\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}) \leq \|\vec{A}\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)\| \|\vec{x}\| \leq \|A\| \|\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)\| \|\vec{x}\| \leq \rho c \sqrt{\frac{v}{a}}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\rho = \|A\| = \max_i \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}.$$

Therefore, from formula (9), taking inequalities (10) and (11) into account, we have

$$\frac{dv}{dt} \leq -\frac{2c}{b}v + 2c \sqrt{\frac{v}{a}} = -\frac{a}{b}v - \sqrt{v} \left(\frac{a}{b} \sqrt{v} - \frac{2c}{\sqrt{a}} \right).$$

Therefore, if

$$v > \frac{4c^2 b^2 a}{a^2} = v_0$$

and, that means, if

$$\|\vec{x}\| > \frac{2bc}{a} = R, \quad (12)$$

then

$$\frac{dv}{dt} < -\frac{a}{b}v < 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t > t_0. \quad (13)$$

Let us consider the closed sphere $K_R \{ \|\vec{x}\| \leq R \}$. Let $v[\vec{x}(t_0)] \leq v_0$. Then, using inequality (13), we have, obviously, $v[\vec{x}(t)] \leq v_0$ when $t > t_0$. But since the ellipsoid $v(\vec{x}) = v_0$ is situated within the sphere $\|\vec{x}\| = R$, then $\vec{x}(t) \in K_R$ for $t \geq t_0$; the solution $\vec{x}(t)$ is defined when $t_0 \leq t < +\infty$.

If $v[\vec{x}(t_0)] > v_0$, then, integrating inequality (13) from t_0 to t , when $t > t_0$ we have

$$v[\vec{x}(t)] \leq v[\vec{x}(t_0)] e^{-\frac{a}{b}(t-t_0)} < v[\vec{x}(t_0)].$$

Therefore, solution $\vec{x}(t)$ is infinitely extendable as t increases and cannot constantly be located outside of the ellipsoid $v(\vec{x}) \leq v_0$, that is, at some $T > t_0$ the first time we shall have $v[\vec{x}(T)] = v_0$ and, therefore, $\vec{x}(T) \in K_R$. But then $\vec{x}(t) \in K_R$ when $T \leq t < +\infty$, where

$$T \leq t_0 + \frac{b}{a} \ln \frac{v[\vec{x}(t_0)]}{v_0}.$$

2'. Let $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(t)$ and $\vec{y} = \vec{y}(t)$ be two solutions of System (5).
FIRST LINE OF TEXT
 Assuming

$$u = (A(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), (\vec{x} - \vec{y})),$$

we have

$$\frac{du}{dt} = 2((\tilde{A}\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - \tilde{A}\vec{f}(\vec{y}, t)), (\vec{x} - \vec{y})).$$

Hence, using inequality (6) and the principal lemma, we obtain

$$\frac{du}{dt} \leq -2\alpha \|\vec{x}(t) - \vec{y}(t)\|^2 \leq -\frac{2\alpha}{b} u.$$

Therefore

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0) e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{b}(t-t_0)} \quad \text{when} \quad t > t_0.$$

or

$$\|\vec{y}(t) - \vec{x}(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\alpha}} \|\vec{y}(t_0) - \vec{x}(t_0)\| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{b}(t-t_0)},$$

if $t \geq t_0$. Therefore, each solution $\vec{x}(t)$ is asymptotically stable in the large when $t \rightarrow +\infty$; the stability has an exponential nature.

Corollary. At the points of the ellipsoid

$$v(\vec{x}) = v_0,$$

where $v_0 = \alpha R^2$, for the solutions $\vec{x}(t)$ of System (5) such that $v[\vec{x}(t_0)] = v_0$, the inequality is fulfilled

$$\frac{dv}{dt} < 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t = t_0$$

This assertion follows immediately from formulas (12) and (13).

Theorem 2a (generalization). Let the vector function $\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t)$ have the properties indicated at the beginning of the formulation of theorem 2.

If:

1a) the symmetric matrix $\tilde{J}_1(\vec{x}, t)$ possesses a highest characteristic number $\Lambda(\vec{x}, t)$ such that

$$\Lambda(\vec{x}, t) < -\alpha < 0 \quad (6a)$$

when $\|\vec{x}\| \geq R_0 > 0$ and $\underline{t} < t < +\infty$,

$$\Lambda(\vec{x}, t) \leq \beta < +\infty \quad (6b)$$

when $\|\vec{x}\| < R_0$ and $\underline{t} < t < +\infty$, where α and β are positive numbers;

2a) the inequality

$$\|\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)\| \leq c < +\infty,$$

is fulfilled, then System (5) is dissipative.

Proof. Retaining the symbols of theorem 2, let

$$v(\vec{x}) = (A\vec{x}, \vec{x}),$$

and let

$$\vec{x}_p = \frac{R_0}{\|\vec{x}\|} \vec{x}$$

be the nearest projection of the point \vec{x} ($\vec{x} \neq 0$) on the sphere $\|\vec{x}\| = R_0$. For the solution $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(t)$ when $\|\vec{x}(t)\| \geq 2R_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dv}{dt} &= (A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t), \vec{x}) = \\ &= ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{x}_p, t)), (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p)) + ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}_p, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p)) + \\ &\quad + ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), \vec{x}_p) + (A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the principal lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{x}_p, t)), (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p)) &\leq \max_0 \Lambda(\vec{x}_p + \theta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p), t) \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq -\alpha \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p\|^2 = -\alpha (\|\vec{x}\| - R_0)^2 = -\alpha \left(\frac{\|\vec{x}\|}{2} + \frac{\|\vec{x}\|}{2} - R_0 \right)^2 \leq -\frac{\alpha}{4} \|\vec{x}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 < \theta \leq 1 \text{ and } \|\vec{x}\| > 2R_0.$$

Similarly, using inequality (6b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}_p, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_p)) &= ((A\vec{f}(\vec{x}_p, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)), \vec{x}_p) \left(\frac{\|\vec{x}\|}{R_0} - 1 \right) \leq \\ &\leq \beta \|\vec{x}_p\|^2 \left(\frac{\|\vec{x}\|}{R_0} - 1 \right) \leq \beta R_0 \|\vec{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Further, bearing in mind that

$$\Lambda(\vec{x}, t) \leq \max(-\alpha, \beta) = \beta$$

follows from (6a) and (6b) when $\vec{x} \in E^n$ and $t \in I^+$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}) &= (A\vec{f}(\vec{x}, t) - A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}) \frac{R_0}{\|\vec{x}\|} \leq \\ &\leq \beta \|\vec{x}\|^2 \frac{R_0}{\|\vec{x}\|} = \beta R_0 \|\vec{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$(A\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t), \vec{x}) \leq \|A\| \|\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t)\| \|\vec{x}\| \leq \rho c \|\vec{x}\|,$$

where $\rho = \|A\|$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dv}{dt} < -\frac{a}{4} \|\vec{x}\|^2 + (2\beta R_0 + \rho c) \|\vec{x}\|,$$

or

$$\frac{dv}{dt} < -\frac{a}{4} \|\vec{x}\|^2 - \left\{ \frac{a}{4} \|\vec{x}\| - 2(2\beta R_0 + \rho c) \right\} \|\vec{x}\| \leq -\frac{a}{4} \|\vec{x}\|^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{if only } \|\vec{x}\| \geq \max \left[2R_0, \frac{8(2\beta R_0 + \rho c)}{a} \right] = R_1.$$

Since

$$a \|\vec{x}\|^2 \leq v(\vec{x}) \leq b \|\vec{x}\|^2,$$

where $a = \lambda_{\min}(A)$ and $b = \lambda_{\max}(A)$, then from inequality (14) when $v \geq v_0 = bR_1^2$ we obtain

$$\frac{dv}{dt} \leq -\frac{a}{4b} v.$$

Hence

$$v[\vec{x}(t)] \leq v[\vec{x}(t_0)] e^{-\frac{a}{4b}(t-t_0)}, \quad (15)$$

if $v[\vec{x}(t)] \geq v_0$.

Therefore, when $t \geq T(\vec{x})$ for each solution $\vec{x}(t)$ the inequality $v[\vec{x}(t)] \leq v_0$ will be satisfied continuously, that is,

$$\|\vec{x}(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{v[\vec{x}(t)]}{a}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{a}} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} R_1 = R$$

for $T(\vec{x}) \leq t < +\infty$.

Theorem 2b. If instead of inequalities (6a) and (6b) the inequality

$$\Lambda(\vec{x}, t) \leq -\varepsilon(r) < 0 \quad (6c)$$

is satisfied when $\|\vec{x}\| \geq r > 0$ and $t_0 < t < +\infty$, where $\alpha(r)$ is a
FIRST LINE OF TEXT
monotone nondecreasing function of r ($t_0 < t < +\infty$) and, in addition,

$$\vec{f}(\vec{0}, t) = \vec{0},$$

then the trivial solution $\vec{x} \equiv 0$ of System (5) is stable in the large when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Let

$$v(\vec{x}) = (A\vec{x}, \vec{x}).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily and $\|\vec{x}(t)\| \geq \varepsilon$. On the basis of the reasoning of theorem 2a, taking into account that we may take $\beta = 0$ and $c = 0$, we have

$$\frac{dv}{dt} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \|\vec{x}(t)\|^2 < 0$$

when $\|\vec{x}(t)\| \geq \varepsilon$.

Thus $v(\vec{x})$ is a positively defined function having a negatively defined derivative $\frac{dv}{dt}$ on the strength of System (5). Therefore, on the basis of Lyapunov's theorem, the solution $\vec{x} \equiv \vec{0}$ is asymptotically stable when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

The asymptotic stability of the solution $\vec{x} \equiv \vec{0}$ holds for any initial perturbations, since from an inequality similar to (15) for each solution $\vec{x}(t)$ we obtain

$$\|\vec{x}(T)\| = \varepsilon \quad \text{at some } T > t_0.$$

and, therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \vec{x}(t) = 0$.

Remark. Theorem 2b generalizes Krasovskiy's result [5].

REFERENCES

1. N. Levinson. Transformation Theory of Non-linear Differential Equations of the Second Order, Ann. Math., 45, No. 4, 723-737, 1944.
2. B. P. Demidovich. The Existence of Limiting Conditions for a Certain Nonlinear System of Ordinary Differential Equations, Uch. zap, MGU, VIII, Issue 181, 3-12, 1956.

* When $r = 0$ we have $\Lambda(0, t) \leq 0$.

3. B. P. Demidovich. One Case of Quasiperiodicity of the Solution of an Ordinary Differential Equation of the First Order, Usp. Matem. nauk, VIII, Issue 6(58), 103-106, 1953.

4. S. A. Samedova. The Existence of a Periodic Limiting Condition of a Nonlinear System of Ordinary Differential Equations, Izv. AN AzSSR, ser. fiz.-matem. i tekhn. nauk, No. 2, 27-33, 1960.

5. N. N. Krasovskiy. Stability in the Presence of Large Initial Perturbations, Prikl. matem. i mekh., XXI, Issue 3, 307-319, 1957.

Department of Mathematical Analysis

Submitted December 14, 1960

FIRST LINE OF TITLE

5		5
4		4
3		3
2		2
1		1
0		0

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	Nr. Copies	MAJOR AIR COMMANDS	Nr. Copies
		AFSC	
		SCFTR	1
		ARO	1
HEADQUARTERS USAF		ASTIA	10
		TD-B1a	3
AFCIN-3D2	1	TD-B1b	3
		SSD (SSF)	2
		APGC (PGF)	1
		ESD (ESY)	1
OTHER AGENCIES		RADC (RAY)	1
		AFSWC (SWF)	1
CIA	1	AFMTC (MTW)	1
NSA	2		
AID	2		
OTS	2		
AEC	2		
PWS	1		
NASA	1		
RAND	1		